

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-7 and 13-18 are pending in the application. Applicant, by this paper, amends claims 1-2 and adds new claims 13-18. No new matter is added by amendment. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims.

Discussion of Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0115453 to Poulin et al. (hereinafter Poulin) in view of Kruis.

Applicant amends claim 1 to include the features of “receiving within the mobile station a *Short Message Service (SMS) message originated at the MPC and having a teleservice identifier field within the SMS message identifying a position location engine within the mobile station* as a destination of information required to continue running the LBS application in response to the application being authenticated.” (*emphasis added*). The prior art references, whether alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest the claimed features or combination of claimed features.

Support for the claim amendments can be found throughout Applicant’s Specification. In particular, support for the SMS message can be found at paragraph [1025] and [1026].

Neither Poulin nor Kruis describes, teaches, or suggests an SMS message from the MPC to the mobile station. More particularly, none of the cited references teaches or suggests a teleservice identifier field having a particular value or identifying a position location engine within the mobile station as a message destination. Indeed, neither reference cited by the Examiner even mentions a teleservice identifier field.

Thus, because each reference alone fails to recite the features described above, the combination of the two references fails to cure the deficiencies in the references alone. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of claim 1, because the cited references, whether alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest every claimed feature.

Claims 2-7 are dependent from claim 1 and are believed to be allowable at least for the reason that they depend from an allowable base claim. Claim 2 is amended to correct a typographic error.

Discussion of New Claims

Applicant adds new claims 13-18. No new matter is added by the new claims. Support for the new claims can be found throughout Applicant's Specification, as filed.

In particular, support for claim 13 can be found, for example, at paragraph [1025].

Support for claim 14 can be found, for example, at paragraph [1028].

Support for claim 15 can be found, for example, at paragraphs [1026]-[1028].

Support for claim 16 can be found, for example, at paragraph [1025].

Support for claim 17 can be found, for example, at paragraph [1028].

Support for claim 18 can be found, for example, at paragraph [1028].

Applicant respectfully requests allowance of new claims 13-18.

CONCLUSION

Applicant believes that all claims pending in the application are allowable. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned.

Applicant believes that the instant response is filed within the period for response following Applicant's filing of a Notice of Appeal, on October 18, 2007.

If there are any other fees due in connection with the filing of the response, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 17-0026. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 not accounted for above, such an extension is requested and the fee should also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 30, 2007

By: /Linda G. Gunderson/
Linda G. Gunderson
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 46,341

QUALCOMM Incorporated
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, California 92121-2779
Telephone: (858) 651-8546
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502
61194672 v1